

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/682,188	UEDA, MAKOTO
	<b>Examiner</b> Kenneth Tang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2195

**All Participants:**

(1) Kenneth Tang

**Status of Application:** Amendment after Non-final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Frank V. DeRosa (Reg. No. 43,584)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 March 2006

**Time:** 2pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant submitted Proposed Amendment.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1-21

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend the claims according the Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

ENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)